

theodor.barth@khio.no

[crossover]

ANTIPODES

V—leaflet (6/7)

At dOCUMENTA 15, this year (2022), the artists were immersed in the weft of their peers. The common curatorial concept was participatory and based on collectives, common efforts (*lumbung*) and the multiple. Though from the 4 corners of the earth, it was not unconnected to the current situation in Kassel, where 40% of the population are immigrants. *How to live together*?

Amongst the works at the *Fridericianum Museum* the second floor, in the hall of the Eastern aisle, a work from Congo—the colonial Zaire—blended with the South African history of repair, and the passing figure of Germany as a colonial power on the African continent: Togo, Cameroon, Tanzania, Angola. Under the dome of a blue tent the manufacture & meaning of a copper ingot.

An artist-story of the ingot—the *Katanga cross*—was shown on a video projected on the *ceiling* of the blue dome. On the floor: *a cross*. In mid-height, *another* cross. The arms of the cross: the four *cardinal* directions (South, North, East, West). The centre of the cross was presented as a 5th element: the *position*. In the tent this position was layered: *above, below* and *between*.

As an item the cross (*croisette*) was a vehicle of the inter-operability we know with money—i.e., economic exchange—was combined with *other* aspects of inter-operability: assuming a *position* on what is *above*, *below* and *between* (a function which the current monetary system does *not* cover). And, in that sense, prompting a *cartographic* embodiment that is absent from economics.

Here the point was made from a *visual art* position (inclusive performance installation). But, as a statement, it could have come from contemporary *dance* (overflowing from a stack that denies it). The transposition to the field of contemporary dance—which I am doing here—could be of consequence: since *contemporary* dance links to a "kitchen" of *multiples* and *commons*.

The content of the project on the Katanga-cross was *archaeological*, in the sense of *contemporary* archaeology. It could also have been architectural in the sense of how we bridge *space* and *organisation*. That is, the interoperable conditions of what comes from the *ground-up* (such as the workshops of an art school), what comes from *top-down* and what goes *middle-out*. A sum.

In *cartographic* terms: a *map* indicating the *location* where we stand with a cross, is then *placed* on that exact spot on the ground. Where do we stand? The puzzle that we attempt to solve by assuming a position. In organisational terms, a vectorial sum: *ground-up + top-down = middle-out*. This exchange is unattended and currently left to anarchy. The project is *precise* on this matter.

It presents us with a *specific* bid—proposed in story and formulated in space —in which the vertical dimension is put into question: in the sense that it cannot be taken for granted, and has somehow to be *worked* for. Taking stock of the situation through <u>precisation</u>: clarifying effort of *how the problem is set* **a**) from *below*, **b**) from *above* and then **c**) *move*: taking a middle-out position.

In the scope of the Katanga-cross project, the cross is *not* the infamous mark on a map indicating a treasure to be *looted*; but a treasure to be *built* at the cost of a cumulative human effort. The digital community—the internet—is built to *scramble* this aspect of *inter-operable* ability to *respond*: or, responsibility. Cyber-capitalism will thereby be seen as the *heir* of colonialism.