

If the specific is the finite coordinate of substance—which is infinite—then the relation might be the infinite coordinate of the situation: i.e., that the relation and the situation are the faces of the haptic connect considered from the finite and infinite vantage points: there is the situation, the relation and the haptic.

So, the haptic is a **tipping-point** at which hyper-dimensional **rotations** become articulated. It is the **zero-point** where the co-ordinate **articulation** between the finite and infinite **shifts**. If we then follow up the idea that whatever take we develop on the in/infinite it will be **reductive** in both cases. Hence **information**.

Information emerges when the individuation of the situation, the relation and the haptic connect reaches a critical threshold. Which is why the idea of information—thus conceived (Simondon)—will evolve to become paranoid when driven into isolation. The isolate, as a scientific construct, is dead.



in/finite [unlearn] 17.03.2020

We may ask: why is Spinoza, to the actual extent in Ethics, concerned with Gd, if he was an atheist mainly concerned with the organisation of knowledge? Well, clearly the 3-step procedure of constituting the whole under a dominion, subdividing it into given realms, and connecting them is the power-way.

The liberating impact of G-d is that it frees this logic from the powers that be -kings and governments-private property and economics (this is also the logic of the Hebrew exodus from Egypt). In other words, it is based on a liberating idea. So, what does it take for this idea to deliver? The connect.

It is tempting to approach what Spinoza calls 'substance' with what Henri Bergson understands by 'virtual images'. That is, one track of a dual imagereel—working at all times, in the human psyche—with 'actual images' as the other track; the two are superposed but one to the fore the other to the back.

Under normal conditions the 'actual image' reel foregrounds the 'virtual image' reel: the actual images are "now—this and next step!", while the virtual images are in the past tense, while never complete and thereby also futuring. The virtual images are, in this sense, infinite: while the actual are finite.

Sometimes the order of the image-reels is reversed—as a result from e.g. fatigue, according to Bergso: what is then perceived as it is ongoing is experienced in the past-future tense and thereby conjure a confusing sense of being remembered: this is déjà vu. Bergson's topic is the memory of the present.

The present always features a mnemonic layered structure, because of the way the actual and virtual images are coordinated to one another. And occasionally the co-ordinate articulation between the two is reversed, as already described. It is in this relationship we are interested in the haptic.

The connect. A part of the mnemonic work of the "present" is whether and how (well) the two image-reels connect: this is haptic—it feels in/congruent, in/consistent, un/connective. The ledger of this account in us works all the time. And the exchange between the actual and virtual is transpositional.

When the actual/virtual image-reels are overturned to become virtual/actual —of which déjà-vu is but a particular case—we are considering an elementary instance of transposition. That is, the reversal is an indicator of that we are 'shifting gears' as one says. Or, moving at a level that is not body-movement.

Spinoza wrote: "By idea, I mean the mental conception which is formed by the mind as a thinking thing—I say conception rather than perception, because the work perception seems to imply that the mind is passive in respect to the object; whereas conception seems to express an activity of the mind."

If understood as a second transposition—following the one suggested above —it is an instance of the infinite expressing the finite. It is what has been called obviation, a second order transposition, or exposition. It is the in-one expression of 'essence and existence' in the human clone. The connect.